## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-6, 8-21 and 44 are pending.

## I. Claim Objections

The error in claim 1 noticed by the Examiner has been fixed.

## II. Rejections Over the Prior Art:

In responding to the Examiner's prior art rejections, Applicant here only justifies the patentability of the independent claims (i.e., claim 1). As the Examiner will appreciate, should the independent claim be patentable over the prior art, narrower dependent claims would also necessarily be patentable. Accordingly, Applicant does not separately discuss the patentability of the dependent claims, although it reserves the right to do so at a later time if necessary.

Claim 1 has been rejected as anticipated (35 U.S.C. § 102(e)) by US 2003/0078634 ("Schulman") and as obvious (35 U.S.C. § 103(a)) by "Schulman" in view of USP 4,082,097 ("Mann").

Claim 1 has been amended to specify that the first circuitry drives the antenna/charging coil with a "first frequency," while the third circuitry drives the booster coil with a "second frequency." See Applicant's Specification, ¶ [0064]-[0068].

This limitation of different frequencies used in conjunction with different charging and booster coils is not disclosed in either Schulman or Mann. Accordingly, whether viewed singularly or when combined, neither of these references can anticipate or render obvious claim 1, or claims dependent thereon.

\* \* \* \* \*

Based on the above remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 1-6, 8-21 and 44 are allowable, and requests that a Notice of Allowance issue for these claims

Respectfully submitted,

/ Terril Lewis/

Terril G. Lewis, Reg. No. 46,065

Date: January 8, 2009

Wong, Cabello, Lutsch, Rutherford & Brucculeri, LLP 20333 S.H. 249, Ste. 600 Houston, TX 77070 phone: (832)446-2422

fax: (832)446-2424 tlewis@counselip.com